



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/995,056	11/26/2001	Robert F. Cruickshank III	FSP0163	9528
88095	7590	07/26/2010	EXAMINER	
ARRIS			PATEL, DHAIRYA A	
3871 Lakefield Drive			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suwanee, GA 30024			2451	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	
			07/26/2010	DELIVERY MODE
				ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mirho@fspllc.com



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09995056	11/26/2001	CRUICKSHANK ET AL.	FSP0163

EXAMINER

Dhairya A. Patel

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

2451 20100716

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

This action is responsive to remand by the Board of Patent Appeals to cancel the expressly or implicitly withdrawn claims. Appellants has not appealed all rejected claims. Specifically, a review of the Ground of Rejection on the record finds that rejection are outstanding for the following pending claims: 1-3, 5-7, 9-20, 22, 23, 33-35, 37-39, 41-52 54, 55. The rejected claims that have not been appealed and/or argued for appeal are claims 2-3, 5-7, 9-11, 15-20, 22, 34-35, 37-39, 41-43, 47-52 54.

Therefore, the Grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal:

Claims 1, 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(e) as being anticipated by Foulger et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2003/0018769 (hereinafter Foulger)

Claims 12, 13,14, 23,44,45,46,55 are rejected under 35 U.C.. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Foulger et al. U.S. Patent Publication # 2003/0018769 (hereinafter Foulger) in view of Feinberg et al. U.S. Patent # 6,798,745 (hereinafter Feinberg)

Therefore, Claims 2-3,5-7,9-11,15-20,22,34-35,37-39,41-43, 47-52, 54 are cancelled.

Therefore, Claim 12 is considered as an independent claim with claim 11 limitations added into claim 12 to make into independent claim 12. Therefore, claim 12 as independent claim with limitation from claim 11.

Therefore, Claim 23 is considered as an independent claim with claims 1, 22 limitations added into claim 23 to make into independent claim 23. Therefore, claim 23 as independent claim with limitation from claims 1, 22.

/John Follansbee/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2451